

I do not understand why a communal disabled parking bay would be put outside another residence property when the applicant for the disabled parking bay chooses to park in the opposite lay-by which has minimal if no distance between the proposed bay and there property.

I also do not feel it is necessary to have a communal parking bay at the entrance of Ludbridge close, rather than central to other residents so they and visitors are also able to use it. It would make far more sense if the bay was put where the resident chooses to currently park. Being it's just as close to there property and easier for others to access.

I would like to also state that I park where the proposed bay has been allocated and I have parked there for many years due to having a child with autism who lacks road safety. I had permission from SOHA (my housing association) that due to his needs and practicality, I can in fact park there. With this being said I would therefore be left with having to find another parking space that will leave my child with a greater safety risk with crossing the road to get to my property.

I would also like to state that the applicant has adequate space at the side of there property that can be made into off street parking which would make far more sense for them to have.

There is always enough parking for the applicant to park close enough to the property. There is an extreme lack of demand for parking and more than acceptable parking can be found. The applicant, her partners and visitors all use the lay-by since moving to the property and they all choose to park there instead of using their own two allocated parking bays. This is an example of the lack of demand for parking. Otherwise partners and visitors would use the residence own bays.

I therefore strongly object to this application for the proposed disabled parking bay. It is most definitely not needed or necessary at all.